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b) Importance (of) sampling
2. Importance sampling of virtual point lights
3. Importance caching for complex illumination

## Ultimate goal



Time: 21 hours

## Ultimate goal


photo-realism

## Trends

$\checkmark$ Moore's law - better hardware Researchers - better software © "Shrek" trilogy rendering times in CPU hours

## We will never be able to render the desired quality in real time.

"Everyone knows Moore's Law predicts that compute power will double every 18 months. A little known corollary is that feature cartoon animation CPU render hours will double every 36 months."

## Progressive rendering

* A decent solution
* Quickly gaining popularity
$\checkmark$ Progressively increasing quality (while still)
$\checkmark$ Low-latency interaction
$\times$ Difficult to reuse samples
* Need algorithms that
- Converge $\leftarrow$ ultimate quality
- Have fixed memory footprint $\leftarrow$ limited memory
- Are well parallelizable $\leftarrow$ parallel hardware


## Importance (of) sampling

* Only classic brute-force algorithms used in practice
$\checkmark$ Fulfill requirements
×Slow... convergence...
* Tremendous improvements by smarter sampling
- Importance sampling
- Multiple importance sampling (MIS)
- Adaptive sampling


# Importance Sampling of Virtual Point Lights 

Eurographics 2010
short paper

## Motivation

* Instant Radiosity (IR) - two-pass
- Cheap pre-processing
- Expensive rendering
* Previous approaches
- Bidirectional/Metropolis Instant Radiosity [Segovia et al.]
- Difficult to implement
- Multiple sampling strategies
- Many parameters
- Difficult to stratify
- "One-pixel image" assumption


## Our method

* Simple extension of IR
- Generate VPLs from light sources only
* Probabilistically accept VPLs
- Proportionally to total contribution
- All VPLs bring the same power to the image
$\Rightarrow$ "One-pixel image" assumption
* Minimum importance storage
- Filter VPLs on the fly


## Probabilistic VPL acceptance

* VPL energy

$$
L_{i}=\frac{L_{i}}{p_{i}} p_{i}=\frac{L_{i}}{p_{i}} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{\left[0, p_{i}\right]}(t) d t
$$

* One-sample Monte Carlo integration with $\xi$

$$
\widehat{L_{i}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{L_{i}}{p_{i}}, & \xi<p_{i} \\
0, & \text { else }
\end{array}\right.
$$

* Allows to control VPL density


## Choosing the acceptance probability

* Want $N$ VPLs with equal total contribution
- $\Phi_{v}=\frac{\Phi}{N}$
* For each VPL candidate $i$ with energy $L_{i}$
- Estimate total contribution $\Phi_{i}$
- Russian roulette decision with $p_{i}=\min \left(\frac{\Phi_{i}}{\Phi_{v}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}, 1\right)$
- Accept with energy $\frac{L_{i}}{p_{i}}$
- Discard


## Estimating Image Contribution

## * Computing $\Phi_{i}$

- Create a number of samples from camera rays
- Analogs of importons
- Connect VPLs to camera samples
* Computing Ф
- Progressively
- Set $\Phi=0$
- Loop
- Render frame, compute $\Phi^{i}$
- Accumulate $\Phi=\left(1-\frac{1}{i}\right) \Phi+\frac{1}{i} \Phi^{i}$
- In a single pass - path tracing, using VPLs, etc.


## Results



Instant Radiosity
Our Extension (0.07 acceptance)

## Results



Average acceptance probability: 0.28

## Results



Average acceptance probability: 0.23

## Wrap Up

* Simple extension of IR
- Generate VPLs from light sources only
* Probabilistically accept VPLs on the fly
- Fixed minimal additional storage
- Easy to parallelize
* Two parameters
- $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=0.05$
- Number of camera samples, e.g. 100
* "One-pixel image" assumption


# Importance Caching for Complex Illumination 

Eurographics 2012
full paper

## Motivation



## Motivation

* Global illumination still very costly
- Indirect illumination
- Even direct illumination - environment, area lights
* Two basic algorithmic improvements
- Importance sampling
- Better sample distribution (ideally proportional to integrand)
- Higher quality with fewer samples
- Exploiting coherence
- Pixel integrands are often highly correlated
- Amortize sampling effort among pixels
- Fast!


## Background <br> Importance Sampling

* Global - virtual point lights (VPLs)
- Importance-driven sample generation/filtering
- Find relevant VPLs for the current view point (one-pixel image)
$\checkmark$ Fast - few VPLs
X Suboptimal - VPL importance varies across pixels
* Local (per pixel)
- Construct product PDF specialized for integrand
$\checkmark$ Robust - PDF often matches integrand well
$\times$ Not in the presence of occlusion
X Costly - per-pixel PDF construction (BRDF pre-processing)

Motivation (Single Sample per Pixel)


Perfect PDF


## Background <br> Exploiting Coherence

* Illumination is often smooth
- Especially indirect
> Correlated pixel integrals
* Filtering
- Idea - share samples among integrals
- Reuse samples by interpolation/filtering
- Irradiance caching, photon mapping
- Preserve discontinuities
- Smooth, low-variance results
- Biased, smeared edges $\rightarrow$ indirect only
- Slow convergence, increased memory usage



## Algorithm Overview

* Idea - combine all three
- Unbiased VPL sampling framework
- Shade only few most relevant VPLs
* Approach
- Consider full integrand (visibility)
- Shade all VPLs at few locations
- Reuse VPL evaluations as importance at other locations
* Issue - illumination discontinuities
- Additional more conservative distributions
- Efficient MIS combination at shading points


## Algorithm Outline

* Progressive rendering
- Interactive feedback, fixed-memory convergence
* For each frame

1) Create importance records (IR) from camera
2) Create virtual point lights (VPLs)

- Probabilistic rejection (global)

3) Store VPL distributions at each IR (local)
4) Render

- Borrow nearby IR distributions for VPL sampling (coherence)


## Preprocess

* VPLs - on light sources and indirect
* IRs store VPL contributions
- Accumulated during VPL generation
* Discard VPLs irrelevant for the image
- Immediately after generation
- Subset of IRs for contribution estimate
- Halton sequence periodicity
* Accumulate VPL contribution to IRs


## Rendering

* For each pixel shading point
- Find nearest IRs
- Use IR distributions defined for VPL sampling
* Robust sampling if at least one IR correlates
* Increased variance when all IRs irrelevant
- Identify causes for VPL contribution changes
- Additional, increasingly conservative distributions
* Many strategies - combine efficiently
- Bilateral MIS combination framework


## Sampling distributions

* Four sampling distributions at each IR

$\mathcal{B}$ : Bounded


U: Unoccluded

$\mathcal{C}$ : Conservative


## Distribution Combination Horizontal Combination

* Matrix structure
* Distributions often correlate among IRs
- Combine first horizontally
- Balance heuristic
- Corresponds to mixture
- Directly sample mixture
> Collapse columns into one


## Distribution Combination Vertical Combination

* Balance/power heuristics suboptimal
* Novel $\alpha$-max combination heuristic
- Prioritize distributions: $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$
- Define confidences: $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}, \alpha_{U}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$
- Discard low-probability samples
- If $p_{\mathcal{F}}(x)<\alpha_{u} p_{u}(x)$

* Distribution optimization
- Apply heuristic at each IR
> Exactly one distribution is non-zero for each VPL



## Results

## Study Hall (diffuse)

## Technique comparison


$\mathcal{F} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$ fractional contributions


## Results

## Numerical tests





## Results

## Glossy



## Results

## Preview quality (0.5 FPS)



## Summary

* Exploiting coherence in an unbiased way
- Can capture discontinuities
- Only error is noise (and VPL clamping)
- Specialized sampling techniques
* All VPL types handled simultaneously
* Progressive rendering
- First good approximation within a second
- Full convergence with fixed memory footprint

